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#### Abstract

In this paper, numerical simulations are used to investigate the $150 \Omega$ impedancematched bicone switch configuration with a spherical pressure vessel. The near-field electric field responses and the focal impulse waveforms are compared for cylindrical and spherical hydrogen chamber geometries.


## 1 Introduction

Analogous to [1], this paper compares cylindrical and spherical hydrogen chambers with a spherical pressure vessel for a $150 \Omega$ impedance-matched (IM) vertical bicone switch configuration. The switch system components, i.e., hydrogen chamber and pressure vessel, and the surrounding oil medium are identical to those in [1].

## 2 Setup

The VBCS-75 ${ }^{1}$ configuration in [2] is modified in this paper to maintain a fixed impedance in the pressure vessel and oil media. As seen below, this is accomplished using two bicones. A cylindrical support section (CSS) between the two cones provides structural support to the pressure vessel.

### 2.1 Structure visualization

### 2.1.1 VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC

Figure 2.1 shows the perspective view of the VBCS-IM-75 with the spherical pressure vessel and cylindrical hydrogen chamber (SPVCHC) and the reflector. The details of the switch geometry are shown in Fig. 2.2. All components are centered at the first focal point.


Figure 2.1: Perspective view of VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC configuration with reflector; "Zoomed-in" view showing discrete port excitation.

The excitation is applied between bicone- 1 while bicone- 2 is used to guide the spherical waves originating from the source. As shown in Fig. 2.2, a cylindrical support structure, of height $H_{\text {css }}$, is used to transition between the bicones.

Bicone- 1 has an impedance of $150 \Omega$ in the pressure vessel medium, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{1}=2 \operatorname{arccot}\left(\exp \left[2 \pi \frac{Z_{c}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{r_{\mathrm{pv}}}}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\mu_{0}}}\right]\right)=55.12^{\circ} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]Bicone-2 an impedance of $150 \Omega$ inside the oil medium, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{2}=2 \operatorname{arccot}\left(\exp \left[2 \pi \frac{Z_{c}}{\sqrt{\epsilon_{r_{\text {oil }}}}} \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_{0}}{\mu_{0}}}\right]\right)=46.96^{\circ} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, the impedance of the bicones remains fixed as the wave propagates from the pressure vessel into the surrounding oil medium. This is advantageous as it reduces the reflections.


Figure 2.2: Geometrical details and "zoomed-in" side view of switch system for the VBCS-IM-75SPVCHC configuration.

The physical dimensions of the switch system components are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1: Dimensions of switch system components

| Component | Height $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Radius $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (mono)cone-1 | $h_{1}=0.5$ | $r_{1}=h_{1} \tan \theta_{1}$ |
| cylindrical support | $H_{\mathrm{css}}=0.218$ | $r_{\mathrm{css}}=h_{1} \tan \theta_{1}$ |
| (mono)cone-2 | $h_{2}=6.0$ | $r_{2}=h_{2} \tan \theta_{2}$ |
| hydrogen chamber | $h_{\mathrm{hc}}=1.0$ | $r_{\mathrm{hc}}=h_{\mathrm{hc}} \tan \theta_{1}$ |
| pressure vessel | - | $r_{\mathrm{pv}}=2.0$ |
| oil medium | - | $r_{\mathrm{oil}}=6.0$ |

### 2.1.2 VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC

Figure 2.3 shows the perspective view of the VBCS-IM- 75 with the spherical pressure vessel and spherical hydrogen chamber (SPVSHC) and the reflector. The details of the switch geometry are shown in Fig. 2.4.


Figure 2.3: Perspective view of VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configuration with reflector; "Zoomed-in" view showing discrete port excitation.


Figure 2.4: Geometrical details and "zoomed-in" side view of switch system for the VBCS-IM-75SPVSHC configuration.

Geometrical details of bicone-1 and bicone-2 are identical to the VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC configuration, The dimensions of the switch system components are summarized in Table 2.

Note that the electrical height of the CSS is increased, due to the surrounding pressure vessel medium, by a factor of $\sqrt{\epsilon_{r_{\mathrm{pv}}}}=1.92$ and the electrical height of bicone- 2 is increased, due to the surrounding oil medium, by a factor of $\sqrt{\epsilon_{r_{\text {oil }}}}=1.5$.

## 3 CST parameters

- CST parameters and probe placements are identical to those in [2].

Table 2: Dimensions of switch system components

| Component | Height $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Radius $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (mono)cone-1 | $h_{1}=0.5$ | $r_{1}=h_{1} \tan \theta_{1}$ |
| cylindrical support | $H_{\mathrm{css}}=0.218$ | $r_{\mathrm{css}}=h_{1} \tan \theta_{1}$ |
| (mono)cone-2 | $h_{2}=6.0$ | $r_{2}=h_{2} \tan \theta_{2}$ |
| hydrogen chamber | - | $r_{\mathrm{hc}}=h_{1} \sec \theta_{1}$ |
| pressure vessel | - | $r_{\mathrm{pv}}=2.0$ |
| oil medium | - | $r_{\mathrm{oil}}=6.0$ |

- In all simulations, a discrete port, $1 \mathrm{~V}, 100 \mathrm{ps}$, ramp rising step, excitation is applied between a 2 mm gap in the switch cones.

Note: Since the input voltage and gap spacing are identical to those in [1], the input power to the $150 \Omega$ VBCS-IM configurations described above is $4 / 3(=200 \Omega / 150 \Omega)$ times larger than the $200 \Omega$ T4FASC-CSS designs in [1].

## 4 Results

The time spread in the normalized responses from the near-field electric field probes is summarized in Table 3 (each response is normalized with respect to its maximum).

Table 3: Summary of the approximate time-spread in the normalized near-field electric field responses for the VBCS-IM-75, VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC and VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configurations.

| Component | Time spread (ps) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | VBCS-75-SPVCHC | VBCS-75-SPVCHC |
| $E_{\phi}:-y z$-plane | 0 | 0 |
| $E_{\theta}:-z x$-plane | 0 | 0 |
| $E_{\phi}: x y$-plane | $\lesssim 60$ | $\lesssim 60$ |
| $E_{\theta}: x y$-plane | 0 | 0 |
| $E_{\phi}:-z x$-plane | $\lesssim 5$ | $\lesssim 5$ |
| $E_{\theta}:-y z$-plane | $\lesssim 60$ | $\lesssim 60$ |

The results are identical for both configurations. As in [2], the $E_{\phi}$ component in the -yz-plane, the $E_{\theta}$ component in the $-z x$-plane and the $E_{\theta}$ component in the $x y$-plane are zero. The maximum time spread occurs in the $E_{\phi}$ component in the $x y$-plane and $E_{\theta}$ component in the -yz-plane, $\lesssim 60 \mathrm{ps}$. These results lead one to speculate that the geometry of the hydrogen chamber does not significantly affect the electric fields.

The peak focal impulse amplitudes $\left(E_{\max }\right)$, spot sizes and $\triangle$ FWHMs for both configurations are summarized in Table 4. $\triangle$ FWHM is the relative FWHM as defined in [1]. The results are almost identical for both configurations, i.e., the geometry of the hydrogen chamber does not distort the sphericity of the wavefront. $E_{\text {max }}$ is enhanced but is significantly lower than the analogous T4FASC-CSS designs investigated in [1]. The spot diameters and $\Delta$ FWHMs are also much larger.

Table 4: Peak focal impulse amplitudes ( $E_{\max }$ ) and spot sizes for the VBCS-IM-75, VBCS-IM-75SPVCHC and VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configurations.

| Configuration | $E_{\max }(\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{m})$ | $\Delta \mathrm{FWHM}(\mathrm{ps})$ | Spot diameter $(\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC | 9.591 | 21.164 | 4.542 |
| VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC | 9.394 | 19.734 | 4.497 |

Near-field plots for all configurations are given in Appendix-I. The focal impulse waveforms and the spot sizes are given in Appendix-II.

## 5 Conclusion

Inspite of the larger input power, the peak focal impulse amplitude for the both the VBCS-IM-75 configurations investigated is significantly lower than the analogous T4FASC-CSS designs in [1]. Therefore, these designs are not attractive for experimental investigation and henceforth will not be considered.
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## Appendix-I

Normalized $E_{\theta}$ and $E_{\phi}$ electric field components for the VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC and VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configurations.


Figure 5.1: Normalized $E_{\theta}$ and $E_{\phi}$ components of the responses from the electric field probes on the $x y,-y z$ and $-z x$ planes for the VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC configuration.


Figure 5.2: Normalized $E_{\theta}$ and $E_{\phi}$ components of the responses from the electric field probes on the $x y,-y z$ and $-z x$ planes for the VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configuration.

## Appendix-II

Focal impulse waveforms and beam widths for the VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC and VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configurations.


Figure 5.3: Electric field focal impulse waveforms and beam widths for the VBCS-IM-75-SPVCHC and VBCS-IM-75-SPVSHC configurations .


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Recall from [2] that the notation VBCS- $Z_{c}$ refers to a vertical bicone switch with impedance $2 Z_{c} \Omega$

